PATENT COOPERATION TREAT

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY RECEIVED								
То:			O PAT	HILE ENTOFFICE	PCT			
	see form	PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
				(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)				
	icant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
International application No. International filing date (c) PCT/JP2004/012669 26.08.2004				day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 28.08.2003			
	national Patent Clas K7/48	sification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC				
Applicant SHOWA DENKO K.K.								
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the follo	owing items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion					
	Box No. II	Priority						
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establishr	nent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability			
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, invapplicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				novelty, inventive step or industrial tement				
	⊠ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited					
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application						
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	al application				
2.	FURTHER ACT	ON						
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
	For further option	ns, see Form PC	T/ISA/220.					
3.	For further detail	s, see notes to F	Form PCT/ISA/220.					

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Voyiazoglou, D

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3355



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/012669

_							
_	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	I.	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2.	. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. type of material:						
		a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
b. format of material:							
		in written format					
		in computer readable form					
	c. time of filing/furnishing:						
		contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	n C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.					
4.	. Additional comments:						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/012669

_	Box	c No. II	Priority						
1.	<u> </u>								
		copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).							
						ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).			
		Consec	quently it has not be neless been establis	en possib shed on th	e assumpt	ider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has tion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.			
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.								
3.	It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.								
4.	Add	litional o	bservations, if nece	essary:					
	_								
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
1.		ement			•				
	Nov	elty (N)		Yes:	Claims	1-7			
		• , ,		No:	Claims				
	Inve	entive st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims				
				No:	Claims	1-7			
	Indu	ustrial ap	oplicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-7			
				No:	Claims				
2.	Citations and explanations								
	see	separa	te sheet						
_	Box	No. VI	Certain docume	ents cited					
1.	Cert	Certain published documents (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 70.10)							
	and /or								
2.	Non	-written	disclosures (Rules	43 <i>bis</i> .1 aı	nd 70.9)				

see form 210

Re Item V.

1 The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 99/62482 A (ITO SHINOBU; TSUZUKI TOSHI (JP); FURUYA KAZUO (JP); MASATSUJI EIKO (J) 9 December 1999 (1999-12-09)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 is new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses cosmetic compositions comprising a lipopeptide (surfactin) and polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid ester (see example 26). Neither the glyceryl nor the sorbit(sorbitol) ester are mentioned in this example. A polyoxyethylene polyhydric alcohol fatty acid partial ester is mentioned in the description in a long list of surfactants. (see page 21, lines 2-3).

2.2 However, the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT,

- because the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses cosmetic compositions comprising a lipopeptide (surfactin) and polyoxyethylene fatty acid ester derivatives (see example 26). The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore differs from this known composition in that the second compound is a polyoxyethylene glyceryl (or sorbit) fatty acid ester. The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as the insufficient washability of cosmetics comprising a lipopeptide compound and more particularly surfactin. The present application claims that this problem has been solved by using a lipopeptide (surfactin) and polyoxyethylene glyceryl (or sorbit) fatty acid ester.
- 2.3 The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

The subject-matter of claim 1 consists in the selection of a surfactant - namely polyoxyethylene polyhydric alcohol fatty acid partial ester (see D1, page 21, lines 2-3) from the range of surfactants described in document D1. Such a selection can only be regarded as inventive, if these compounds presents unexpected

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/012669

effects or properties in relation to the rest of the range. However, no such effects or properties are indicated in the application. Hence, no inventive step is present in the subject-matter of claim 1.

3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 6

The subject-matter of claim 6 is new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. However, the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, since the reasoning of claim 1 applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claim 6 which therefore is also considered not inventive.

4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-5, 7

Dependent claims 2-5, 7 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).